

I C A N N 4 7



IRTP Part D PDP Working Group Update



NO.47 · 14-18 JULY 2013



Overview

- Fourth and last Working Group (WG) of IRTP-related PDP series
- WG started on 25 February 2013
- Community input from BC and RySG reviewed



Charter Questions

- a) Should **reporting requirements** for registries and dispute providers be developed in order to **make precedent and trend information available** to the community and allow reference to past cases in dispute submissions?
- b) Should additional provisions be included in the Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy (TDRP) that set out **how to handle disputes when multiple transfers have occurred?**
- c) Should **dispute options for registrants** be developed and implanted as part of the IRTP (currently registrants depend on registrars to initiate a dispute on their behalf)?



Charter Questions

- d) Should certain requirements and best practices be put into place for registrars to **make information on transfer dispute resolution options available to registrants?**
- e) **Are existing penalties for policy violations sufficient** or should additional provisions/penalties for specific violations be added into the IRTP?
- f) **Did the universal adoption and implementation of EPP AuthInfo codes eliminate the need of Standard Forms of Authorization (FOAs)**



Broader Issues Also Under Discussion

- Overall structure, usefulness and effectiveness of the Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy
- Issues from earlier IRTP WG's that it might be useful to revisit, given changes that have taken place in the interim



Future Milestones

- Initial Report envisaged for early August 2013
- Final Report envisaged for ICANN 48 Buenos Aires
- Info: www.tinyurl.com/irtphome



I C A N N 4 7



Thank you



NO.47 - 14-18 JULY 2013

