#### ICANN 47

# Joint GNSO – ccNSO Council meeting



## Dimensions

N

Ν

- Scope of activities of SO
- Scope of policies
- Structure of SO
  Role of Councils
- Relation with TLD operators/managers
- Relation with registrars
- Relation with governments and other stakeholders





### Scope of activities of SO

Ν

Ν

A

C

#### ccNSO

- Platform Exchange of information
  - Internal (ccTLD's)
  - External ( other SO's and AC's, ICANN as organization)
- Best practices
- Guidelines for interaction between ccTLD's and ICANN
- Policy related activities
  - Policy development
  - Interpretation of existing policies

- Responsible for developing and recommending to the ICANN Board substantive policies relating to generic top-level domains
- Develops input / advice on any gTLD related issues
- Exchanges of views between stakeholders with very different views and perspectives (contracted & non-contracted)



## **Scope of Policies**

Ν

Ν

A

C

#### ccNSO

- Very Limited (Annex C)
  - Excludes all policies related to registration of second level domain names -> no role for the ccNSO as such, local matter

- Substantive policies relating to gTLDs
- Annex A / PDP Manual
- Scope of "Consensus Policies" is defined in gTLD Registry and Registrar Agreements ('picket fence'), which includes areas such as: principles for allocation of registered names; resolution of disputes, and; access to contact information (Whois).



## Structure of SO

#### ccNSO

- Council (18)
  - 15 elected, 3 NomCom appointed
- Members (ccTLD managers)
- Non-members (ccTLD managers)

#### GNSO

- Council (21)
  - 3 RySG, 3 RrSG, 6 CSG, 6 NCSG, 3 Nom Com
- 2 Houses


Ν

N

- 4 stakeholder groups (2 per house)
- Constituencies / Interest Groups



### **Role of Councils**



С

А

Ν

Ν

4

7



### Relation with TLD operators / managers

N

N

#### ccNSO

- Members (voluntary)
- Policy only applies to members
- ccNSO does not represent ccTLD's
- ccNSO recommendation are NOT binding

- Registry Stakeholder Group
- Per contract, "Consensus Policies" are directly applicable and enforceable by ICANN



## **Relation with Registrars**

Ν

Ν

A

C

#### ccNSO

- No direct relation
- Local accreditation

- Registrar Stakeholder Group
- Per contract, "Consensus Policies" are directly applicable and enforceable by ICANN



## Current areas of shared work

Ν

N

- CWG principles next steps
- JIG current status / next steps
- Geographic Regions Report any common views / position?
- Use of country and territory names as TLD's next steps
- DNS Security and Stability (DSSA, Risk Framework) - next steps





## Shared issues and interests

N

Ν

- Manage joint activities
- How to improve interaction at working group level?
- Interest in ICANN strategic and operational planning and budget?



• Other?



## How to move forward?

Ν

A

Ν

- Are joint Council meetings still the most effective tool to achieve desired objectives?
- Should other models be explored?





#### ICANN 47

# Discussion



NO.47 · 14-18 JULY 2013 ICANN